JOHN T. HASSLER 5311
Regulated Industries Complaints Office
Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs
State of Hawaii
Leiopapa A Kamehameha Building
235 South Beretania Street, Suite 900
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Telephone: 586-2660

PECCHAN

2015 MAR 16 P 1:49

Attorney for Department of Commerce and Consumer Affairs

BOARD OF PRIVATE DETECTIVES AND GUARDS
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIR

STATE OF HAWAII

In the Matter of the Guard Agency License of UNIVERSAL PROTECTION SERVICE, LP and)))))	PDG 2014-38-L SETTLEMENT AGREEM FILING OF PETITION FO ACTION AND BOARD'S	OR DISCI	PLÍNA	ROP
Guard license of)				
SCOTT K. COLLINS,)				
Respondents.)				
241042211)				

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PRIOR TO FILING OF PETITION FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND BOARD'S FINAL ORDER

Petitioner, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS'

REGULATED INDUSTRIES COMPLAINTS OFFICE (hereinafter "RICO" or "Petitioner"),
through its undersigned attorney, and Respondents UNIVERSAL PROTECTION SERVICE, LP
and SCOTT K. COLLINS (hereinafter "Respondents"), enter into this Settlement Agreement on
the terms and conditions set forth below.

A. <u>UNCONTESTED FACTS:</u>

- 1. At all relevant times herein, Respondent UNIVERSAL PROTECTION
 SERVICE, LP was licensed by the Board of Private Detectives and Guards (hereinafter the "Board") as a guard agency under license number GDA 1032. The license was issued on or about January 23, 2014. The license will expire or forfeit on or about June 30, 2016.
- 2. At all relevant times herein, Respondent SCOTT K. COLLINS was licensed by the Board as the principal guard for UNIVERSAL PROTECTION SERVICE, LP under license number GD 761. The license was issued on or about July 2, 2003. The license will expire or forfeit on or about June 30, 2016.
- 3. Respondents' mailing address for purposes of this action is c/o Scott K. Collins, Esq., Harbor Court, 55 Merchant Street, Suite 1850, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813.
- RICO received information suggesting that an unlicensed individual employed by and/or affiliated with Respondent UNIVERSAL PROTECTION SERVICE, LP was providing guard services in or around July of 2014.
- RICO intends to resolve any claims against that individual in a separate agreement and/or proceeding.
- 6. The foregoing allegations, if proven at an administrative hearing before the Board, would constitute a violation of Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS") §436B-19(16) (employing a person not licensed).
- 7. The Board has jurisdiction over the subject matter herein and over the parties hereto.

B. REPRESENTATIONS BY RESPONDENTS:

- Respondents are represented by Scott K. Collins, Esq., Harbor Court, 55
 Merchant Street, Suite 1850, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 for purposes of this settlement agreement.
- 2. Respondents enter into this Settlement Agreement freely, knowingly, voluntarily, and under no coercion or duress.
- 3. Respondents are aware of their right to have a hearing to adjudicate the issues in the case. Pursuant to HRS § 91-9(d), Respondents freely, knowingly, and voluntarily waive their right to a hearing and agree to dispose of this case in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement.
- 4. Respondents being at all times relevant herein licensed as a guard agency and principal guard, respectively, by the Board acknowledge that Respondents are subject to penalties including but not limited to, revocation, suspension or limitation of the licenses and administrative fines, if the foregoing allegations are proven at hearing.
- 5. Respondents admit to the veracity of the allegations and that Respondents' acts violate HRS § 436B-19(16) (employing person not licensed).
- 6. Respondents enter into this Settlement Agreement as a compromise of the claims and to conserve on the expenses of proceeding with an administrative hearing on this matter.
- 7. Respondents agree that this Settlement Agreement is intended to resolve the issues raised in RICO's investigation in RICO No. PDG 2014-38-L.
- 8. Respondents understand this Settlement Agreement is public record pursuant to Hawaii Revised Statutes chapter 92F.

C. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT:

- 1. Administrative Fine. Respondents agree jointly and severally to pay an administrative fine in the amount of FIVE HUNDRED AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$500.00). Payment shall be made by cashier's check or money order made payable to "DCCA Compliance Resolution Fund" and shall be mailed to the Regulated Industries Complaints Office, ATTN: John T. Hassler, Esq., 235 South Beretania Street, 9th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 at the time this Settlement Agreement is returned to RICO.
- 2. Failure to Comply with Settlement Agreement. If Respondents fail to fully and timely comply with the terms of this Settlement Agreement as set forth in paragraph C.2 above, Respondents' licenses shall be automatically revoked upon RICO's filing of an affidavit with the Board attesting to such failure. In case of such revocation, Respondents shall turn in all indicia of the license to the Executive Officer of the Board within ten (10) days after receipt of notice of the revocation. In case of such revocation, Respondents understand Respondents cannot apply for a new license until the expiration of at least five (5) years after the effective date of the revocation. Respondents understand that if Respondents desire to become licensed again, Respondents must apply to the Board for a new license pursuant to and subject to HRS §§ 92-17, 436B-21, and all other applicable laws and rules in effect at the time.
- 3. <u>Possible further sanction</u>. The Board, at its discretion, may pursue additional disciplinary action as provided by law to include further fines and other sanctions as the Board may deem appropriate if Respondents violate any provision of the statutes or rules governing the conduct of guard agencies in the State of Hawaii, or if Respondents fail to abide by the terms of this Settlement Agreement.

- 4. <u>Approval of the Board</u>. Respondents agree that, except for the representations, agreements and covenants contained in Paragraphs C.5, C.6, C.7 and C.8 below, this Settlement Agreement shall not be binding on any of the parties unless and until it is approved by the Board.
- 5. No Objection if Board Fails to Approve. If the Board does not approve this
 Settlement Agreement, does not issue an order pursuant thereto, or does not approve a lesser remedy, but instead an administrative hearing is conducted against Respondents in the Board's usual and customary fashion pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, Respondents agree that neither Respondents nor any attorney that Respondents may retain, will raise as an objection in any administrative proceeding or in any judicial action, to the Board's proceeding against Respondents on the basis that the Board has become disqualified to consider the case because of its review and consideration of this Settlement Agreement.
- 6. <u>Any Ambiguities Shall be Construed to Protect the Consuming Public</u>. It is agreed that any ambiguity in this Settlement Agreement is to be read in the manner that most completely protects the interests of the consuming public.
- 7. No Reliance on Representations by RICO. Other than the matters specifically stated in this Settlement Agreement, neither RICO nor anyone acting on its behalf has made any representation of fact, opinion or promise to Respondents to induce entry into this Settlement Agreement, and Respondents are not relying upon any statement, representation or opinion or promise made by RICO or any of its agents, employees, representatives or attorneys concerning the nature, extent or duration of exposure to legal liability arising from the subject matter of this Settlement Agreement or concerning any other matter.

8. <u>Complete Agreement</u>. This Settlement Agreement is a complete settlement of the rights, responsibilities and liabilities of the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof; contains the entire agreement of the parties; and may only be modified, changed or amended by written instrument duly executed by all parties hereto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have signed this Settlement Agreement on the date(s) set forth below.

DATED: February, 23, 2015.

UNIVERSAL PROTECTION SERVICE, LP

By: Steve Claton Its President

Respondent

DATED: KEBRUARY 26th, 2015

SCOTT K. COLLINS

Respondent

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, Morch 16, 2015

JOHN T. HASSLER

Attorney for Department of Commerce

and Consumer Affairs

IN THE MATTER OF THE GUARD AGENCY LICENSE OF UNIVERSAL PROTECTION SERVICE, LP AND THE GUARD LICENSE OF SCOTT K. COLLINS; SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT PRIOR TO FILING OF PETITION FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND BOARD'S FINAL ORDER; CASE NO. PDG 2014-38-L

APPROVED AND SO ORDERED: BOARD OF PRIVATE DETECTIVES AND GUARDS STATE OF HAWAII

DQUGLAS	H. INO	UYE
Chairperson		/

RAY GALAS
Vice Chairperson

CHIEF HARRY S. KUBONR

May 14, 2015 DATE

KENNETH CHANG

CHIEF BARRYL PER

PVL 11/14

STATE OF HAWAH California) SS.

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU Orange

On this 23rd day of February , 2015, before me personally appeared Steve Claton , to me known to be the person described, and who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged that he executed the same as his free act and deed.

This 7 -page Settlement Agreement document dated February 23, 2015 , 2015 was acknowledged before me by Steve Claton this 23rd day of February , 2015, in the City of Santa Ana , in the County of Orange , in the State of California .

Name: Toni M. Thoolito Name: Toni M.

city t	STATE OF <u>Hawaii</u>) SS. COUNTY OF <u>Honolulu</u>)
	On this 2day of <u>Feb.</u> , 20 <u>15</u> , before me personally appeared
ی	GCOH K. Collins, to me known to be the person described, and who executed the
	foregoing instrument and acknowledged that he/she executed the same as his/her free act and
	deed.
	This
	Scott K. Collins this 26 day of February, 20 15, in the
	City of Honolulu, in the County of Honolulu, in the State of
	Hawaii.
	Name: SUSAN L. Au Notary Public, State of Hawaii

4

My Commission expires: 4/26/15